UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,990	11/26/2003	Junji Mizutani	SAEG154.001AUS	9018	
	7590 01/10/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER			
2040 MAIN ST		DRODGE, JOSEPH W			
FOURTEENTH IRVINE, CA 92		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		1723			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS 01/10/2007			ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/10/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

						. %	
		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		1	0/722,990		MIZUTANI ET AL.		
		E	xaminer		Art Unit		
		Jo	seph W. Drodge		1723		
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appear	s on the cover st	neet with the co	orrespondence add	lress	
						. ==	
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD F S LONGER, FROM THE N hay be available under the provision HS from the mailing date of this com y is specified above, the maximum s in the set or extended period for repl by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, cau	OF THIS COMI I. In no event, however oply and will expire SIX se the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status							
1)⊠ Responsiv	ve to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>06 Nove</i>	mber 2006.				
2a) This action			tion is non-final.				
· '=	, _						
closed in a	accordance with the pract	ice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Clai	ms				-	·	
4) Claim(s) 1	-11 is/are pending in the	application.					
	above claim(s) is/a	• •	from consideration	on.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	/-5,7-9 and 11 is/are allow	ved.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u>	and 10 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requireme	ent.			
Application Papers	.						
9)☐ The specifi	ication is objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawin	ng(s) filed on is/are	: a)⊟ accepte	ed or b) 🔲 object	ted to by the E	xaminer.		
Applicant m	nay not request that any obje	ection to the drav	wing(s) be held in	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	ent drawing sheet(s) includin					R 1.121(d).	
11)∏ The oath o	r declaration is objected t	o by the Exam	iner. Note the at	tached Office	Action or form PT0	D-152.	
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowled	Igment is made of a claim	for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).		
a)∐ All b)[☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1.☐ Cer	tified copies of the priority	documents ha	ave been receive	ed.			
2. Cer	tified copies of the priority	documents ha	ave been receive	ed in Application	on No		
3.☐ Cop	ies of the certified copies	of the priority	documents have	been receive	d in this National S	Stage	
арр	lication from the Internation	onal Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the atta	ached detailed Office action	on for a list of t	he certified copie	es not received	d.		
			•				
Attachment(s)	. •						
1) Notice of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Inte	erview Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notice of Draftsper	rson's Patent Drawing Review (Par	oer No(s)/Mail Da	te	152)	
 Information Disclost Paper No(s)/Mail D 	sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Date	r PTO/SB/08)	· —	tice of Informal Pa ner:	atent Application (PTO-	132)	
S Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 1723

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 1-5 and 9 remain distinguished over all of the prior art.

Independent claim 1, and claims dependent, therefrom distinguish over the prior art of record in view of recitation of a method of hydrofluoric (HF) acid wastewater treatment in which a hydrofluoric acid concentration and evaporation step, producing a vapor, precedes a neutralization step in which residual hydrofluoric acid-containing vapor, which had not been dissolved in an intermediate dissolution step is neutralized with an alkali to produce neutralized liquid and dehydrofluorinated vapor, and additionally condensing dehyrdrofluorinated vapor obtained in the neutralization step to produce condensed water.

Claims 1-4 thus define over each of the Japanese patent publications of record with the 0304 and 1104 Information Disclosure Statements, a neutralization step with alkali to treat HF acid-containing wastewater was followed by a downstream, subsequent concentration/evaporation step. Similarly, in Kurokawa et al patent 6,379,548, in a system and method for treating waste water containing HF acid, a step for neutralizing the effluent with alkali precedes a concentration/evaporation step (or steps), in combination with other process steps including biological treatment and ion exchange treatment. Chlanda et al patent 3,787,304 of record and newly cited Srinivasan et al patent 4,599,156, although each teaching to concentrate HF acid by evaporation, followed by dissolution and neutralization steps, do not teach a condensation step following the neutralization step, especially for condensed dehydrofluorinated vapor from the neutralization step.

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 5 similarly distinguishes in view of recitation of separating concentrated and neutralized liquid into HF acid-containing water, alkali-containing water and desalted water using ion exchange membranes.

Apparatus claims 7-9 and 11 are now deemed allowable and distinguished over the prior art.

Apparatus claim 9 distinguishes in view of a separator for separating neutralized liquid from the 2nd concentrator into 3 separate fractions; HF acid-containing water, alkali-containing water and desalted water.

Claims 7 and 8 distinguish for the same reason as claim 9 concerning apparatus configured for producing of 3 fractions of water from the neutralized liquid using ion exchange membranes.

Claim 11 also distinguishes in view of recitation of a return line operable for returning HF acid-containing vapor solution from water contactor to HF acid concentrator. In Chlanda, such vapor solution is returned to a condenser downstream of the concentrator.

Art Unit: 1723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6 and 10 are/remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chlanda et al patent 3,787,304.

Chlanda et al disclose HF concentrator/evaporator (column 2, lines 29-33 concerning digester and evaporator of a phosphate plant), 'water' contactor 3 (column 4, lines 63-67 states that water is added to settler/contactor 3), 'alkali' contactor 4 (column 2, lines 47-53 stating that a potassium fluosilicate slurry is reacted, i.e. neutralized, with alkali material of potassium hydroxide), and downstream ""still" 18, stills being apparatus in which substances are heated to a gaseous state and then condensed, the effluent or outlet stream from alkali contactor 4 in fluid communication with downstream still or condenser 18, via intermediate electrodialysis unit and water-splitting unit stages 5 and 10-14. Streams, hence conduits of lines 21,22 and 24 and also lines 28 and 31 constitute "vapor supply lines" for supplying liquids and/or vapors from upstream evaporator towards the water and alkali contactors (again see column 2, lines 29-33).

Regarding claim 6, lines 28 and 31 connect the water contactor and alkali contactor.

Art Unit: 1723

Regarding claim 10, alkali contactor 4 is configured to receive water and entrained vapor originating in water contactor 3 via lines 28 and 31 to mix with alkali (potassium hydroxide) and other added material that is added through line 34.

Recitation of production of condensed water remains deemed functional intended use language and of little patentable weight. As necessary, for claims 6 and 10, constituents of the contactors and other fluid-handling components do not necessarily have critical patentable weight, since these components are capable of handling varied fluids, vapors and liquid mixtures.

Applicant's arguments filed on November 6, 2006, concerning Chlanda, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to claims 6 and 10, it is argued that streams 28 and 31 of Chlanda that connect contactors or "mixer-settlers" 3 and 4 pass slurry therethrough instead of hydrofluoric-acid containing vapors including vapors that have not been dissolved, thus are structurally different from what is claimed. With regard to claim 10, it is further argued that the Chlanda system does not bring received vapor into contact with an alkali to produce a neutralized liquid and a dehyrdrofluorinated vapor. However, it is submitted that terminology "streams" of Chlanda inherently requires conduits or lines which are capable of passing any of a wide-range of liquids and/or vapors that may have dissolved or not dissolved. The mixer-settlers or contactors of Chlanda are also capable of handling generally any liquid and/or vapor. What is argued constitutes functional considerations and/or method steps rather than positively recited structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,990 Page 6

Art Unit: 1723

At most, the arguments require structural details that are not recited or structure that is upstream of the instantly claimed structure.

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Drodge at telephone number 571-272-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker, can reached at 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the examining group where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR, and through Private PAIR only for unpublished applications. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWD

January 3, 2007

JOSEPH DRODGE PRIMARY EXAMINER